

1 John H. Scott, SBN 72578  
2 Lizabeth N. de Vries, SBN 227215  
2 **SCOTT LAW FIRM**  
3 1388 Sutter Street, Suite 715  
3 San Francisco, California 94109  
4 Telephone: (415) 561-9601  
4 Facsimile: (415) 561-9609  
5 john@scottlawfirm.net  
5 liza@scottlawfirm.net  
6

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants,  
7 JESSE J. BYRD, MALIK BRITT,  
8 RASHAD CONLEY and ANDREW EMIL ARMSTRONG  
8

9

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
12

13 JESSE J. BYRD, MALIK BRITT, RASHAD  
14 CONLEY and ANDREW EMIL  
15 ARMSTRONG,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 vs.

18 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
19 FRANCISCO; SAN FRANCISCO POLICE  
20 DEPARTMENT; ROSELO PASCUA; ALEX  
RODATOS; KELVIN SANDERS;  
21 JONATHAN CATLETT; RICHAD SOARES;  
WILLIAM ESCOBAR, and DOES 1-20,  
22 inclusive.

23 Defendants.

) **Case No. C 11-1742 DMR**  
)

)  
)

)  
)

) **PLAINTIFFS' MOTION IN LIMINE**  
)

) **NO. 3**  
)

)  
)

) Pretrial Date: February 27, 2013  
)

) Time: 3:00 p.m.  
)

) Place: Courtroom 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
)

) Judge: Magistrate Donna M. Ryu  
)

) Trial Date: March 11, 2013  
)

)  
)

)  
)

1

## INTRODUCTION

2 Plaintiffs bring the following motion *in limine*:

3 3. EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ANY PRIOR ARRESTS OR CONVICTIONS OF THE  
4 PLAINTIFFS, IF THEY EXIST

5 **DISCUSSION**

6 It is unknown if the defendants intend to offer into evidence any prior arrests or convictions of  
7 the plaintiffs, to the extent they exist. Plaintiffs move in limine to exclude such evidence and/or  
8 request that defendants make an offer of proof at this time so this issue can be addressed before  
9 the trial commences.

10

11 **DATED:** February 7, 2013

**SCOTT LAW FIRM**

12

13 By: /s/ John H. Scott  
14 JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT  
15 Attorney for Plaintiffs and  
16 Counter Defendants

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28